Burst abdomen in pregnancy: A proposed management algorithm
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SUMMARY

Management of the burst abdomen is complex due to the co-morbidities associated with it. When coupled with intra-abdominal sepsis and pregnancy, it becomes even more difficult due to the ethical issues that have to be considered when managing both mother and child. Due to the paucity of literature on this subject, a management algorithm has been proposed which aims at tackling this delicate issue. However, the major consideration in the management of these cases is that decisions are to be made based on optimization of the condition of the mother.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute abdomen in pregnancy, and thus management of its complications, remains one of the most challenging diagnostic and therapeutic dilemmas of today despite advancements in medical technology.¹ Wound dehiscence following intra-abdominal sepsis in pregnancy is rare, and the management of such a complex case is a conundrum to both the surgeon and the obstetrician. Whether to close or not to close the abdomen, or when and how to deliver the fetus depends on both maternal and fetal factors which must be considered to have the most optimal outcome.

Case report

Eighteen-year-old Miss CN was referred from a hospital in the district to the Central Regional Hospital as a case of intestinal obstruction post laparotomy for typhoid perforation in pregnancy at 23 weeks gestation. Patient had undergone surgery 3 days prior to referral, and intra-operative findings were faeculent peritoneal fluid, and a single large ileal perforation 15 cm from the ileo-caecal junction. A simple closure had been done. Three days post operatively, abdominal distension was noticed, associated with bilious vomiting, hence the referral from the district hospital.

On initial assessment at the emergency unit, a young, very ill looking female with marked pallor and a temperature of 38.3°C was noted. She was tachypnoeic and tachycardic, with a blood pressure of 100/64 mmHg. Her abdomen was distended, with pus oozing out from a sub-umbilical midline incision, which was held, together by non-absorbable sutures. Obstetric examination (abdomino-pelvic ultrasound) revealed a viable fetus at 23 weeks gestation.

Laboratory investigations showed a haemoglobin level of 6.6 g/dl and a white cell count of 14.6 x 10⁹/L. A diagnosis of deep surgical site infection post laparotomy with severe anaemia was made. Patient was admitted and resuscitated with intravenous fluids, intravenous antibiotics and blood transfusions. A wound swab was taken for culture and sensitivity. Skin sutures were removed to facilitate drainage of pus, and patient was managed on a high protein/calorie enteral diet. On the tenth day of admission, the patient developed a burst abdomen. A Bogota bag was provided for closure of the abdomen due to the finding of a septic hostile abdomen in an anaemic malnourished patient.

The surgical wound granulated over rapidly, and the foetus was monitored regularly via abdominal ultrasound and daily foetal kick counts. Seven weeks after admission (gestational age 31 weeks) and after a course of steroids to promote foetal lung maturation, patient went into preterm labour and delivered a live male infant via spontaneous vaginal delivery. The neonate was taken to the neonatal intensive care unit for further monitoring.
**Management algorithm for burst abdomen in pregnancy**

Burst abdomen in pregnancy (post laparotomy; post incisional hernia etc)
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Case report

There are different modalities available for the management of wound dehiscence post laparotomy, which range from primary repair with sutures/mesh reinforcement, to entero-atmospheric treatment. However, in the presence of intra-abdominal sepsis and a gradually enlarging uterus, the management option with the best outcome for both mother and foetus becomes more difficult to choose due to fewer viable options. An online search for the management of similar cases revealed 3 cases of burst abdomen during pregnancy, 2 due to incisional hernias, and the third due to surgical site infection post laparotomy.\(^6\) The first case had a burst abdomen due to an incisional hernia at a gestational age of 28 weeks which was initially managed with a mesh hernia repair and tension sutures. The baby was subsequently delivered by Caesarean section at 34 weeks with a concurrent surgical repair of the hernia.\(^6\) The second case of burst abdomen due to an incisional hernia occurred at 35 weeks gestation, and underwent a Caesarean section with concurrent hernia repair without a mesh.\(^7\) These cases had no overt on-going intra-abdominal sepsis, hence the choice of management. The third case developed an intra-abdominal abscess with wound failure at a gestational age of 27 weeks post small bowel resection, and was managed on Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT). The wound was healed in 4 weeks, and the baby was delivered vaginally at 39 weeks.

Due to the paucity of literature on the management of wound dehiscence due to intra-abdominal sepsis in pregnant patients, the authors have suggested a management algorithm (Figure 1). It takes a broad look at the management of the burst abdomen in pregnancy according to the presence of intra-abdominal sepsis and the gestational age of viability which is 24 weeks according to WHO guidelines.\(^9\) Those who present with intra-abdominal sepsis usually undergo an elective incisional hernia repair after management of the pregnancy and the sepsis.

Important points to consider are:

1. The availability of neonatal intensive care units for resuscitation and management of preterm deliveries.
2. Management decisions must be made based on the health of the mother, not of the foetus.
3. Gestational age of viability differs among different countries, depending on the quality of their neonatal intensive care units. The suggested algorithm can be adapted to suit the selected gestational age of viability for whichever health care system it is implemented in.

The advantage of NPWT post wound dehiscence is well documented in literature.\(^9\) There is no evidence of randomized controlled trials involving use of NPWT in gravid patients. This may be due to the rarity of such cases. However, the two cases in which NPWT were used in gravid patients reported excellent outcomes for both mother and baby.\(^8,10\)

**CONCLUSION**

Management of the acute abdomen, and the sequelae of complications that may arise in pregnancy is a challenge even for the best equipped facilities. There is a paucity of literature on the management of wound dehiscence in pregnancy with/without intra-abdominal sepsis. A management algorithm for such cases has been suggested.

Although no adverse effects have been reported in the cases in which NPWT was used in the gravid patient, randomized controlled trials and long term follow up would be necessary to confirm its overall safety in pregnancy and absence of long term effects on the foetus. Decisions on management of these cases must be made based on the health of the mother.
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